I'm addressing this to the confidentially to reactivate a phrase I haven't used for some thirty-odd years "For Eyes Only". My reasons for the confidentiality are, I think,
valid - as is trustful assumption that will be considered
with the same attentive and friendly understanding afforded me
throughout our recent all-too-few all-too-brief meetings.

To begin with - the ensuing comment and suggested material will, I hope, reflect a practical appreciation of the magnitude of the PROJECT to which you've been committed - my most enthusiastic support for it - and, in particular, the great responsibility that must accompany any personal contribution to its successful consummation. Because not only the immensity of your PROJECT ("EPCOT"), but the staggering potential of it presents a challenge quite unlike any I've encountered during a very full half-century of writing, directing and producing entertainment of all kinds for audiences of all ages and varied demands:

1). Somehow, substantial documents arriving at "major" establishments (in your case not only Major - but the last functioning survivor of your species) are very often routinely fed into a copying machine, and distributed rather more widely than wisely.

It's quite possible (make that probable) that some or all of you will disagree with some or all of my perceptions, opinions and suggestions. In which case, it seems to me, you should be presented with every opportunity to delete privately what displeases you - then either act upon, or pass on to others, whatever you find relevant and/or helpful.

1 SPACE

- 2) Facing ('challenging' might be more accurate) me from the opposite wall of my study as I write, is the large color photograph of the "EPCOT" model, with which Marty Sklar was kind enough to provide me. Visually, it's tremendously impressive and intriguing. Both as a physical configuration and technological achievement, it will be it is, in every true meaning of the word unique. Only DISNEY/WED, in all the world, could conceptualize a structural compound such as this and only, uniquely, DISNEY/WED could make of it an actuality.
- 3).What, then, I must ask myself, can I possibly contribute and why was I sent for? After all, whatever creative expertise I've
  acquired after fifty years of professional experience is people.

  Young and old, living and long gone, the raw material with and about
  which I've been writing and/or directing and/or producing for a
  lifetime and, oddly enough, itself the marketplace for that work has always been, and still is people. People as non-animatronic
  audiences..

One can build a physical structure which nobody wants either to buy, rent, visit or live in - but nevertheless, it remains a structure. An inanimate object; it is, it has an incontestable existence - and will continue to be until it's either torn down or rots away. However, no one can - no one has or ever will - create an entertainment, a work of art, a star performer, a public attraction of any importance whatsoever, unless a continuing and approving audience confirms its identity as being an entertainment, work of art, stardom or public attraction. Without that audience-affirmation

of its substance and validity - to all intents and purposes, its creator might just as well have blown, in an otherwise empty room, one perfect smoke-ring. Even the creator of "STEAMBOAT WILLIE", for a long suspenseful time, worked and waited - worked and waited - until a world-wide audience unequivocably proclaimed Walt Disney a genius in his genre.. | SPACE |

4). I've set high standards for myself; perhaps too high for my reach. But just because I haven't yet attained the standards I demand of myself - is no reason for abandoning them. I'm quite sure that the same - and there the resemblance ends, believe me - was true of Walter Elias Disney.

"Walt's Dream" was really DISNEYLAND, wasn't it? I may remember incorrectly, but it seems to me he never did stop realizing that dream; continually adding something new, improving something old - he meant DISNEYLAND to become everything he'd ever fantasied as a kid. And we all know that a kid's fantasies never do come to an end. Not even at age sixty-five.

5). Likewise THE WALT DISNEY WORLD, <u>factually</u> and <u>realistically</u> appraised, is - in just about every applicable aspect - EPCOT. In his speech before the Urban Land Institute on October 5, 1976, Cardon Walker devoted most of it to that incredible - <u>but existing</u> - technological breakthrough of the major obstacles faced by <u>modern</u> communal living - one to which believers continuously pilgrimage from every corner of the earth - THE WALT DISNEY WORLD.

He then quoted Peter Blake, the architectural editor of New York Magazine, and David Brinkley, who needs no identifying (I, too, with millions of others, have seen and heard David on the subject; the <u>underscoring</u> is mine):

"(Peter Blake) recommends that all of New York City's

town planning work be turned over to the Walt Disney...organization

because they seem to be the only people in America who are able to

get anything done...When you look at this new town they have built

here in central Florida, you will think he is right. It is the

most imaginative and effective piece of urban planning in America...

they have built roads, transportation systems, lakes, gold courses,

campgrounds, stores, houses, hotels, and so on. And they all fit

together in a setting of land, air and water better than any other

urban environment in America...this is the future...and nobody has

done it but Disney...after Disney's people take over the big cities,

we will talk about bringing them to Washington."

If ever there's been an exact and explicit description of
an "Experimental Prototype Community of Tomorrow", those words added to the technological information earlier supplied by
Mr. Walker - were it..

DISNEY/WED, I was given good cause from many sources in many ways, to infer that "EPCOT" as a viable acronym for the contemplated PROJECT, was no longer really valid. It becomes, in fact, increasingly invalid as the PROJECT, for itself and from itself, acquires a unique identity of its own. I'm confident that its eventual public name,

mor should it provide 'ammunition' For either well-meant or malevolen

misrapresentation as = "a super-coloses! DISNEYLAND"

Nor should it, in any way, lend itself to being distorted

described as no more than "...and extension as magnification a

6) Marides Moreover During my most recent and briefest vieit to DISNEY/WED, I was given good cause from many sources in many ways, to infer that "EPCOT", as a viable acronym for the It becomes, in fact, contemplated PROJECT, was no longer really valid and proposed for from from from from for proposed increasingly invalid as the PROJECT, by itself and for itself, and for itself, eventual public mane, even if not a future-world acronym will evolve successfully from within the proposed CONCEPT. SPACE for the figure of the great responsibility' mentioned above could be discharged only by providing content of the highest possible quality of for each of the mine Pavilions as generate and self-sufficient

entertainments - and for all nine Pavilions as integrated components

of an overall concept which would apply to the entire PROJECT. A
not only content'
concept which would provide what one could call an 'inner content'
worthy of the contemplated known structural 'outer content',

not only the equal of its visual and artistry and distinction - but also
as an absolute prerequisite,

unfulfilled (but, I hope, comprehensible) proposed concept, three

assumptions. I've heard them repeatedly expressed, both as apprehensions
and as objectives and I cannot overemphasize the degree with which I
the
concur as to their importance of their possible effect upon the
entire PROJECT:

SPACE

In its ultimate realization, the PROJECT must not nor should it provide 'ammunition' for either well-meant or malevolent misrepresentation as \_ "a super-colossal DISNEYLAND".

Nor should it, in any way, lend itself to being distortedly described as no more than "...an extension magnification

....5

of THE WALT DISNEY WORLD..".

3. There will, not, and should not, in any aspect of \*\* THE PROJECT'S unique identity

<u>S. No aspect</u> of the PROJECT'S ultimately realized unique identity should, in any way, justify item reference to it as:
"DISNEY'S WORLD'S FAIR" or, even more denigratingly, as DISNEY'S WORLD SHOPPING CENTER..".
( justification for )

I'm the sure we agree that any of those three designations to be disparagingly applied to the overall PROJECT - or to any access segment of it - would be damaging, indeed. Not only to the intent of your undertaking - the expenditure of simply enormous sums of money and the investment of the world's most skillful advanced the world's most skillful artisans within it - but also the hitherto unimpeachable credibility of the name DISNEY..

I've examined all of the written content made available to me, descriptive of the various Pavilions (the only one I don't have is COMMUNICATIONS). Unhappily, but doggedly and honestly attempting to discharge that 'great responsibility's mentioned above, which I have not lightly assumed, believe me: for the most part, simply stated, of quality a policable to the superb technology and by any standard even remotely applicable to the superb technology a surrounding it and/on actualizing its - the presently contemplated content is just not good. Not nearly good enough.

Given time - ( due to the limited number of weeks alloted me, I've been writing this "in continuity of thought", as it were, primarily concerned that the overall concept might emerge out of context and without proper preparation) - I will try to draw up a list of particulars. So, paraphrasing what Voltaire is supposed to have written: 'forgive the length of this communication - I did not have time enoughbot to make it shorter..'

- that's Man's fill stray in lare is

According to Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes:

"It occurs to me that one of the most momentous decisions of all history was the moment when Man decided to walk upon his feet rather than his hands.."

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

About three million years ago (according to Richard E. Leakey), primitive Man stood upright and extended his foot in the direction of his need -

On July 20th, 1969 - Neil Armstrong completed his first step on to the surface of the Moon. He then said:

"That's one small step for Man - "

8 \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Conceptually, M Neil Armstrong completing his Ancestor's first

"one small step" - represents the sum total of Man's experience,

accomplishment and acquired knowledge thus far. That "one small step"

as far as we've made

- that's Man's full story to date, really - that's how far we've

it - up to NOW

come - we've arrived at NOW, and no further.

Simply stated - if the content of any one of the nine Pavilions were to be eliminated from Man's total experience, accomplishment and 

\*\*\*\*Exax accumulated knowledge to date - Neil Armstrong could never have 
set foot upon the Moon!

Thus, Mxxx each Pavilion is, unto itself, a self-sufficient and informative entertainment - in each category telling the story of how Man, doggedly fighting first for the survival of his species and then for his dominance over over all other species and even his environments. How Man came upon, found, discovered, suddenly achieved or slowly acquired or accomplished, conquered, tamed or invented whatever it was or is, and in whatever sequence: THE LAND, THE SEA, COMMUNICATION, TRANSPORTATION, ENERGY, HEALTH - and ultimately %XXXXX a way into SPACE...

 CONCEPT..... # 12

THE FIRST PAVILION (Erroneously entitled SPACESHIP EARTH):

The short opening paragraph of the script dated Feb. 7, 1978, is quite valid. WE AND OUR PLANET EARTH; A STORY OF SURVIVAL, TRIUMPH, AND -? does introduce the CONCEPT and meaning of the PROJECT.

Sitting in the gateway complex, it will be the first thing guests encounter and plays a key role in their visit." Also: "The show is divided into (two, not three) major segments: 1) The descent...where guests are physically taken back in time; and 2) An exciting journey through history up to the present day." As far as we've made it up to entire second half NOW - and no further. That's for the FUTURE - thewwww.execond.xxxx.

of our PROJECT - why blow it here, at the very start?

(An urgent plea - applicable to every Raxiking one of the and/or rine Pavilions which, individually and interrelated, comprise the first half of the PROJECT and its COUCEST. Each Pavilion will be alloted [I've been given to understand] 30 to 35 minutes in which to present necessity, the obligation to makexexex fill every one of those minutes with new - uniquely new - and exciting content. Given a xeeknekesy brilliant, unsurpassed and world-renowned technology by which our audience ake already expects to be impressed to the point almost understandable of being overpowered by it - there is always the temptation to maximum that complex technology to the least troublesome, even at times to the already-met, challenge in terms of material. This results, I'm afraid, in not only an overall predictability of tox CONTENT in the soripts sexes I've read - but occasionally swem an overlapping and even duplication of the technologyxxxxxxxx and content. Please - I've been through it only once in my entire career, and that once was more than enough - do not finalize the content of any one Pavilion until the contents of all nine Pavilions have been completely finished, approved, EXAMPLE RESERVED REPORT CAREFully scutinized with regard to their individual

Lord Clark's, visually realized by DISNEY/WED technological brilliance, can result only in an end product of which everyone concerned can be proud, indeed.

Every effort should be made to find and utilize the highest available level of quality in every non-technical detail of the PROJECT'S conceptualization, and every word of the writing. There are many very authorities learned and talented manufactures in every aspect of this undertaking - both academic and in the Arts - whose contributions would not manufacture only cost less than the at-hand facile, glib and hack Hollywood "B" talent, but who would provide infinitely more meaningful and exciting - certainly less stale and hackneyed - material on a level worthy of the brilliant outer technology.

"Universal Man" is typical of the me utterly meaningless and empty TV-'commercial'-type of catch phrases and slogans - effective, perhaps, as labels for Amusement Park attractions or hemorrhoid cures, but not for a PROJECT such as this. Like "Mothers of the World", there are no such and there is no such. Unless, throughout our PROJECT, every member of our audiences becomes what might be meant by the "Universal" man, woman or child - we will have failed.

comprise that "one small step".

Because, simply stated - if the content of any one mm of the nine Pavilions were to be eliminated from Man's total experience, accomplishment and accumulated knowledge to date - Neil Armstrong could never have set foot on the Moon. While each Pavilion is, unto itself, a self-contained, self-sufficient and satisfying entertainment – in each category telling the story of how Man, doggedly fighting REN first for the survival of Main species and then for XXX dominance over all other species and even into environments - came upon, found, discovered, suddenly achieved or slowly acquired or accomplished, conquered, tamed or invented whatever it was or is, and in whatever sequence: THE LAND, THE SEA, COMMUNICATION, TRANSPORTATION, ENERGY, ultimately HEALTH, MKXXMXXXXX SPACEm - our audiences, our guests will be aware always that, however fascinated, however visually and emotionally they have participated in each story that made up Man's Total Experience in the end, the content of each Pavilion emerges as an equal and essential component of that miraculous movement that started so tentatively, so slowly, so many millions px years ago - and has actually traveled furthest and fastest within most of our lifetimes that "one small step" ⊠k Man.]

FRST PAVILIAN \*\*\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Returning to Pavilion One and its guests:

I would suggest the <u>audio-animattonic recreation</u> of **t**hat historic moment (Armstrong's first step upon the Moon, and his memorable remark - <u>using his own voice</u>, <u>of course</u>). We utilize it importantly, as you will see: "That's one small step for Man - " PROJECT being the CONCEPT for the <u>first half</u> of our project - and " - one giant leap for Mankind" **EX** CONCEPTUALIZING the <u>second half</u>, **MMXEM** which concerns itself with THE FUTURE..

Misc.....

An implication that along with our guests who kake are now being confronted by a Future which promises them, one the one hand, an assured access to hitherto undreamed of adventure and accomplishment – but, on the other hand, an equally assured return to the a radioactive reproduction of the murky slime of their origins – and that theirs is the choice of ways. The Neighborhood of Nations has gathered here to say, in effect, etc....

But all this was no more than THE FIRST SMALL STEP FOR MAN.

The voyage has not ended - new comes that GIANT LEAP FOR MANKIND.

Ultimately the just-emerged Man who tentatively started that first small step - the incredible total experience it took to complete it - and now Mankind must prepare for a giant leap. Which way ?

The use of words like 'unicore', communicore, etc.., as language in the Bavilions - before the FUTURE arrives

When the FUTURE does arrive - the words will \* be diffused and lack impact. It also generates, without meaning to, the inference that the entire PROJECT IS ONE BIG SUPERMARKET for future product and gadgetry... the need to keep both the language and slogans of The Future separate from Man's total experience thus far..

Wernher von Braun - Nazi V-2 scientist.

The concept I have in mind does set "set an ambitious goal"M. It will, and has already made use of "Disney skills, etc.."

What I have in mind - and what I have <u>in view</u> (across from me, on my study's wall) - the physical <u>XXX</u>\*XXX structure in its entirety is:

- A. A compendium of Man's total expereience, acquired knowledge and wisdom up to Now Now being the moment he set foot \*k on the Moon.
- B. His exposure ( and thus his choice) of either because he can't have both 1) The undreamed of delughts, etc..2) The equally certain way back..

for example, in terms of (a very important thing) the melting pot. When I grew up as a boy in Pennsylvania When I was a boy and grew up in Pennsylvania which meant

knowing that there was something called a melting pot and all races, and all peoples came together in this country and we were in the process ofcreating something called and american heritage For the past fifteen years when our liberal friends of the left ran amok we have learbed that we must protect the Puerto Rican heritage, we must learn in Spanish, and New York bceomes a multili -to order the The Beverly-Wilshire, my wife had dinner last lingual country. couldn't talk English. And the percentage night in Spanish.

of highschool diplomas in the hands of illiterates in Los Angles is much higher than it is even in New York where it isincredubly have to high and we're busy syaing we must protect the ethnic heritage

these Puerto Ricans/Mexicans) who left (Puerto Rico/Mexico) to come here. If they left their heritage why must be spend eighty million dollars a year for New York Highschools to have be run by Spanish-speaking teachers and send Spanish-speaking kids out on the street to make their way in an English-speaking world. American heritage is being Balkanized, it's being shattered, that's I think that's a pretty important theme, it's a theme I want to make. Because ont thing has happened out of all of this, towards the end, the application of this energy and this communication and this transportations in American hands \_ a pilgrimfgther and the others who contributed to it have put an American on the moon - That's the triumph of the American heritage thus far and what you put to your audience is Is this the heritage you want to continue or do you break it up?